

what kind of country are we living in that would allow a company such as Sinclair to force their affiliates to air an anti-Kerry documentary and call it news? It is obviously a ploy to support one candidate (Bush) without having a balanced opposing view. I understand they invited Sen. Kerry to participate in the show. Are they kidding? They are showing lies and they want him to stand there and defend himself.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy.

Instead Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.